



The Royal Australasian
College of Physicians

AFPHEM Oral Presentation Consensus Form



Trainee and assessor details

Trainee's name : Date of assessment : ____/____/____

Name - assessor one : Email - assessor one :

Name - assessor two : Email - assessor two :

Title of presentation :

Ratings

- Please record a consensus score for each domain.
- Consider first whether the performance in the domain is Unsatisfactory, Satisfactory or Superior.
- Then circle a number within the appropriate category.
- If visual aids or responses to questions do not feature in the observed presentation, please leave these ratings blank.

	Unsatisfactory			Satisfactory			Superior		
	→								
1. Content	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
2. Organisation	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
3. Delivery	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
4. Language	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
5. Visual aids	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
6. Responses to questions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Strengths

Suggestions for development

If the trainee receives a rating which is unsatisfactory, the assessors must complete this section.

Additional criteria to be used ONLY if the presentation is for the **Gerry Murphy Prize**:

	Unsatisfactory			Satisfactory			Superior		
7. Quality of project (sound methods, appropriate analysis, findings justified)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
8. Importance of findings (originality, significance for public health)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Assessors' consensus

For summative assessment ONLY:

Our consensus is that the trainee should (please tick appropriate decision):

- Be awarded a Pass
- Be required to make an additional presentation

Comments

Signature - assessor one :

Signature - assessor two :

Signature - trainee :

Feedback about this form

Assessor satisfaction with using this form	LOW	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	HIGH
Trainee satisfaction with using this form	LOW	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	HIGH

Comments

Data from these assessments will be collated for the purpose of evaluating this instrument as an assessment tool for use with trainees - individual, identifiable data will not be presented in any reporting.